

Authors

Andrew Dillon
Northwestern University

Staff

Steven Glazerman
Chief Research & Methodology Officer and Director, Research Methods Initiative

Michael Rosenbaum
Research Methods & Data Coordinator

Biljana Bogicevic
Senior Research and Data Analyst

Global Poverty Research Lab Research Methods Notes

Assessing Repeated and Rescheduled Attempts in Random Digit Dial Survey

Biljana Bogicevic
Innovations for Poverty Action

Navishti Das
Global Poverty Research Lab, Northwestern University Kellogg School of Management

Emma Davies
Global Poverty Research Lab, Northwestern University Kellogg School of Management

Andrew Dillon
Global Poverty Research Lab, Northwestern University Kellogg School of Management

Steve Glazerman
Innovations for Poverty Action

Michael Rosenbaum
Innovations for Poverty Action

Working paper no. 21-110
September 2021

This note is part of the Research Methods Initiative, which is a collaboration between Northwestern University's Global Poverty Research Lab (GPRL) and Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA). More information is available at [GPRL's website](#) and [IPA's website](#).

Northwestern
GLOBAL POVERTY
RESEARCH LAB



Electronic copy available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cdx.cfm?abstract_id=3929926 or to be tracked, join 352296288

Methods Note: Assessing Repeated and Rescheduled Attempts in Random Digit Dial Surveys

A central challenge to telephone surveys is low response rates. This is particularly true for random digit dial (RDD) surveys, which have especially low response rates. For researchers designing RDD survey protocols, there is a clear tradeoff between effort and composition,

where surveys can achieve a higher response rate by calling fewer numbers repeatedly or by calling more numbers less intensively. This brief explores this tradeoff by measuring the effects of (i) repeated attempts per case, and (ii) rescheduling a call, on completion rates and sample composition. Using data from nine low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), we find that repeated and rescheduled attempts result in lower completion rates than new attempts, on average. However, the respondents who complete the survey in later attempts or after rescheduling have statistically significant differences in observable characteristics. This suggests that more call attempts may be needed to adequately represent the respondents who are harder to interview, even if those call attempts produce fewer completions per case.

This paper was originally posted [on the SSRN website](#).

September 27, 2021