

**Authors**

Andrew Dillon  
Northwestern University

**Staff**

Steven Glazerman  
Chief Research & Methodology Officer and Director, Research Methods Initiative

Michael Rosenbaum  
Research Methods & Data Coordinator

*Global Poverty Research Lab Research Methods Notes*

## Understanding Response Rates in Random Digit Dial Surveys

**Andrew Dillon**

Global Poverty Research Lab, Northwestern University Kellogg School of Management

**Steven Glazerman**

Innovations for Poverty Action

**Michael Rosenbaum**

Innovations for Poverty Action

Working paper no. 21-105  
April 2021

Keywords: research methods, phone surveys, response rates

This note is part of the Research Methods Initiative, which is a collaboration between Northwestern University's Global Poverty Research Lab (GPRL) and Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA). More information is available at GPRL's website and [IPA's website](#).

**Northwestern**  
GLOBAL POVERTY  
RESEARCH LAB



# Methods Note: Understanding Response Rates in Random Digit Dial Surveys

This research methods brief presents data from random digit dial (RDD) surveys in nine countries. We show that response rates to such surveys are typically below 60 percent and can be as low as 7 percent. We also show that most of the sample is lost at two points in the survey: non-contact, where respondents do not pick up the phone, and early refusal, where respondents terminate the interview before the survey begins. Beyond that point, cooperation is relatively high, with breakoffs during the interview ranging from effectively 0 to 10 percent across the nine countries. This evidence suggests that the most promising

ways to increase response rates are strategies that increase pick-up rates and improve the first impression respondents have of the interviewer. While increasing contact and consent rates should logically improve response rates, it is not a guarantee. Future research would be needed to confirm whether respondents who are newly induced to answer and consent to the survey would be just as cooperative as those who would have consented without further intervention.

This paper was originally posted [on the SSRN website](#).

April 29, 2021