

Authors

Suresh De Mel
University of Peradeniya

David McKenzie
World Bank

Christopher Woodruff
University of Oxford

Labor Drops:

Experimental Evidence on the Return to Additional Labor in Microenterprises²

Suresh de Mel, *University of Peradeniya*

David McKenzie, *World Bank*

Christopher Woodruff, *University of Oxford*

Abstract

A field experiment in Sri Lanka provided wage subsidies to randomly chosen microenterprises to test whether hiring additional labor benefits such firms, and whether a short-term subsidy can have a lasting impact on firm employment. Using 12 rounds of surveys to track dynamics four years after treatment, we find that firms increased employment during the subsidy period. Treated firms were more likely to survive, but there was no lasting impact on employment, and no effect on profitability or sales either during or after the subsidy period. There is some heterogeneity in effects; the subsidies have more durable effect on manufacturers.

JEL Classification codes: O12, O17, D22, J46, L26, C93

Keywords: microenterprise, labor market frictions, field experiment.

² Funding for this project was provided by the National Science Foundation (SES082375), the World Bank, DfID, the Knowledge for Change Trust Fund, the Diagnostic Facility for Shared Growth Trust Fund, the Strategic Research Program Trust Fund, and the Templeton Foundation. Matthew Glick provided excellent research assistance. The surveys and interventions were carried out with a grant by the Faculty Consulting Group, without whose assistance we would not have been able to undertake the project. We thank the editor, three anonymous reviewers, and participants at various seminars for useful comments.

Labor Drops: Experimental Evidence on the Return to Additional Labor in Microenterprises

A field experiment in Sri Lanka provided wage subsidies to randomly chosen microenterprises to test whether hiring additional labor benefits such firms, and whether a short-term subsidy can have a lasting impact on firm employment. Using 12 rounds of surveys to track dynamics four years after treatment, we find that firms increased employment during the subsidy period. Treated firms were more likely to survive, but there was no lasting impact on

employment, and no effect on profitability or sales either during or after the subsidy period. There is some heterogeneity in effects; the subsidies have more durable effect on manufacturers.

December 01, 2016