

Authors

Rachel Glennerster
UK Department for International Development (DFID)

Katherine Casey Stanford University

Kelly Bidwell J-PAL Global

DEBATES

The Impact of Voter Knowledge Initiatives in Sierra Leone

Kelly Bidwell J-PAL Katherine Casey* Stanford GSB Rachel Glennerster

June 19, 2015

Abstract

Debatos between candidates for public office have a rich historical tradition and smain as integral part of contemporary campaign strategy: There is, however, so definitive evidence of whether debatos affect actual soting behavior. Limited media penetration implies that the effects of publicing debates could be more pronounced, persistent and directly infinite to elected outcomes in the developing world. We experimentally manipulate citizen exposure to debatos between Parliamentary candidates in Serma Leone to measure their impacts on, and the interconnections between, woter behavior, campaign opending, and the performance of elected politicians. We find evidence of strong positive impacts on citizen political knowledge, polity algament and votes uset on Election Day. We then document an endegenous response by participating candidates, who increased their campaign expenditure in communities where videotapes of the debates were screened in large public patherings. A complementary series of individual treatment arms umpacts the different types of information delivened by the debates, and finds evidence that voters respond to both candidate charies and "band factor" about policy stance and professional qualifications. Leathy, we find longer term accountability effects on elected MFs, where participation in debates led to higher levels of constituency engagement and development expenditure during their first year in office.

"Grewpouling author: broase-Ortasied-Adu. This draft remains preliminary and incomplete. This research would not have been possible without the collaboration of Andrews James and Search for Common Grownit; and Innovations for Powerly Action and their Frectown team. We are gradeful to the National Electronal Commission of Sterm Leone and members of our expect possible for their exhabitation. We are gradeful for communita from Maggie Penn, Grew Shanii and Francesco Tachti. We thouk Allyson Barnett, Fata Emilia Castels, Nick Estavait, Abdusia Kandels, Agues Laha, Osman Balay, Isaac Noolocha, Katle Penry and Calderine Weight for credibility season assistance. Senting particle pasts at British University, the Center for Effective Chilad Action, Experiments in Governmen and Politics, International Growth Castae (GC) Growth Work, Political Institutions and Economic Polity Conference at Princeton, Staudord OSB, University of British Collembia, University of Caldernia Berkeley, University of Michigan, Welfarley and the Working Group on African Political Economy provided imightful community. We gradefully achievelodge functional unique of the Commission of Castae College (Advanced Institute at 3 FAL, IGC, National Burma of Economic Research and Staufied Institute for Innovation in Developing Economics, All errors are our own.

Debates: The Impact of Voter Knowledge Initiatives in Sierra Leone

Debates between candidates for public once have a rich historical tradition and remain an integral part of contemporary campaign strategy. There is, however, no definitive evidence of whether debates affect actual voting behavior. Limited media penetration implies that the effects of publicizing debates could be more pronounced, persistent and directly linked to electoral outcomes in the developing world. We experimentally manipulate citizen exposure to debates between Parliamentary candidates in Sierra Leone to measure their impacts on, and the interconnections between, voter behavior, campaign spending, and the performance



of elected politicians. We find evidence of strong positive impacts on citizen political knowledge, policy alignment and votes cast on Election Day. We then document an endogenous response by participating candidates, who increased their campaign expenditure in communities where videotapes of the debates were screened in large public gatherings. A complementary series of individual treatment arms unpacks the different types of information delivered by the debates, and finds evidence that voters respond to both candidate charisma and "hard facts" about policy stance and professional qualifications. Lastly, we find longer term accountability effects on elected MPs, where participation in debates led to higher levels of constituency engagement and development expenditure during their first year in once.

June 19, 2015