

Authors

Michael Kremer The University of Chicago

Edward Miguel University of California, Berkeley Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA)

Sendhil Mullainathan Harvard University

Clair Null Mathematica Policy Research

Global Innovation Fund

Social Engineering: Evidence from a Suite of Take-up Experiments in Kenya¹

Harvard University, University of California, Berkeley and NBER Brookings Institution, and NBER

Sendhil Mullainathan Harvard University and NBER Clair Null

Alix Peterson Zwane The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

First draft: February 2008 This draft: April 2011 Preliminary results. Please do not cite or circulate without authors' permission

Abstract: Many effective health products and behaviors available through the private market are Abstract: Many effective health products and behaviors available through the private market an not widely adopted in less de veloped countries. For example, fewer than 10% of households in our Kenyan study area treat their water with dilute chlorine. Using a suite of randomized evaluations, we find that information and marketing interventions do little to boost use of chlorine. However, chlorine tuke-up is highly sensitive to price, convenience and social context, with more than half of households using chlorine when an individually-packaged supply is delivered free to the home. The highest sustained take-up is achieved by combining free, convenient, salient, and public access through a point-of-collection chlorine dispenser system and a local promoter. More than half of households treat their water and this use continues 30 months later even though promoters are paid only for the first six months. The estimated long-run costs of this intervention at scale, including administrative costs, are between \$0.25 and \$0.50 per nerson per year. \$0.50 per person per year.

Social Engineering: Evidence from a Suite of Take-up Experiments in Kenya

Many effective health products and behaviors available through the private market are not widely adopted in less developed countries. For example, fewer than 10% of households in our Kenyan study area treat their water with dilute chlorine. Using a suite of randomized evaluations, we find that information and marketing interventions do little to boost use of

This new arch is supported by the Hew lett Foundation, USDAF-onign Agricultural Service, International Child Support (ICS), Southish International Divelopment Agency, Finish Fund for Leval Competition in Kertyn, propagation, The Bill and McKinda Gone Foundation, the US Backeley Blanc Gener for Eveloping Entomotions and the Harvard University Center for the Environment and Center for International Development Stanzialability Science in the Harvard University Center for the Environment and Center for International Development Stanzialability Science in the Harvard University Center for the Environment and Center for International Development Stanzialability Science (Harvard University), American Center (Harva



chlorine. However, chlorine take-up is highly sensitive to price, convenience and social context, with more than half of households using chlorine when an individually-packaged supply is delivered free to the home. The highest sustained take-up is achieved by combining free, convenient, salient, and public access through a point-of-collection chlorine dispenser system and a local promoter. More than half of households treat their water and this use continues 30 months later even though promoters are paid only for the first six months. The estimated long-run costs of this intervention at scale, including administrative costs, are between \$0.25 and \$0.50 per person per year.

April 01, 2011