

Authors

Dean Karlan Northwestern University

Markus Mobius Microsoft Research

Adam Szeidl Central European University

Tanya Rosenblat University of Michigan

Measuring Trust in Peruvian Shantytowns *

Dean Karlan

Markus M. Mobius Yale University Harvard University and NBER

Tanya S. Rosenblat Adam Szeidl Iowa State University UC Berkeley

July 2009

Abstract

This paper uses a microfinance field experiment in two Lima shantytowns to measure the relative importance of social networks and prices for horrowing. Our design randomizes the interest rate on loans provided by a microfinance agency, as a function of the social distance between the horrower and name agency, as a function of the social distance between the borrower and the consigner. This design effectively varies the relative price (interest rate differential) of having a direct friend weams an indirect friend as a congawr. After loans are processed, a second randomization relieves some corigners from their responsibility. These experiments yield three main results. (1) As emphasized by sociologists, connections are highly valuable: having a friend emphasized by sociologists, connections are ingrey variance; naving a treate configure is equivalent to 18 per cert of the face value of a month loan. (2) While networks are important, agents do respond to price incentives and switch to a non-friend configure when the interest differential is large. (3) Reliewing responsibility of the configure reduces repayment for direct friends but has no effect otherwise, suggesting that different social nucleanisms op-erate between friends and strangers; Non-friends conign known high types, while friends also accept low types because of social collateral or altr

Measuring Trust in Peruvian Shantytowns

This paper uses a microfinance field experiment in two Lima shantytowns to measure the relative importance of social networks and prices for borrowing. Our design randomizes the interest rate on loans provided by a micro-finance agency, as a function of the social distance between the borrower and the cosigner. This design effectively varies the relative price (interest rate differential) of having a direct friend versus an indirect friend as a cosigner. After loans are processed, a second randomization relieves some cosigners from their



responsibility. These experiments yield three main results. (1) As emphasized by sociologists, connections are highly valuable: having a friend cosigner is equivalent to 18 per cent of the face value of a 6 month loan. (2) While networks are important, agents do respond to price incentives and switch to a non-friend cosigner when the interest differential is large. (3) Relieving responsibility of the cosigner reduces repayment for direct friends but has no effect otherwise, suggesting that different social mechanisms operate between friends and strangers: Non-friends cosign known high types, while friends also accept low types because of social collateral or altruism.

July 01, 2009