

Authors

Pascaline Dunas **Princeton University**

What Matters (and What Does Not) in Households' Decision to Invest in Malaria Prevention?

By PASCALINE DUPAS®

This paper examines the take-up of a new malaris-control device by rural boundedds in Kenya, and tests whether the demand curve for the device varies with the framing of marking messages and with the gender of the person targeted by the marketing.

Previous research suggests that the demand for malaris prevention is highly price-ensitive (Jensica Cohon and Duyas 2008), even though the private returns superventing malaria are very large (Christian Lengeler 2004), in the standard model of investment in brance capital, individuals invest in a brailly product of the exported benefits from the product curvicipli its cost. Michael Grossman 1972). In this framework, the low take-up observed at relatively moderate private extrement in Previous curvicipli its cost. Michael Grossman 1972, in this framework, the low take-up observed at relatively moderate private in the product curvicipli its cost of investing in preventions or due to prosphe bridge conditions and buspus (2008) could be due to people bridge conditions and transition of the standard moderate from t

L. Experimental Design

The health product studied in this paper is the long-lasting insecticide-treated bednet

What Matters (and What Does Not) in Households' Decision to Invest in Malaria **Prevention**

This paper tests the effects on the take-up of a preventative health product of two interventions based on behavioral models derived from psychology: varying the framing of the perceived benefits; and having people verbally commit to purchase the product. I find that none of these interventions had a significant effect (whether economically or statistically) on take-up, and that the gender of the household member targeted was also irrelevant. In contrast, I find that take-up is sensitive to price, as in Cohen and Dupas (2008), and is correlated with indicators of household's wealth.