

Authors

Miriam Bruhn World Bank

David McKenzie World Bank

> IN PURSUIT OF BALANCE: RANDOMIZATION IN PRACTICE IN DEVELOPMENT FIELD EXPERIMENTS²

> > Miriam Bruhn, World Bank Email: mbruhn@worldbank.org

David McKenzie, World Bank, BREAD, CReAM and IZA Email: dnckenzie@worldbank.org

Abstract

We present new evidence on the randomization methods used in existing experiments, and new simulations comparing these methods. We find that many papers do not describe the randomization in detail, implying that better reporting is needed. Our simulations suggest that in samples of 300 plus, the different methods perform similarly. However, for very pensistent outcome variables and in smaller samples pair-wise matching and stratification perform best and appear to dominate the re-randomization methods commonly used in practice. The simulations also point to specific recommendations for which variables to balance on and for which controls to include in the ex-post analysis.

Keywords: Randomized experiment; Program evaluation; Development. IEL codes: C93, O12.

*We thank the landing researchers indevelopment field experiments who participated in our duent survey, as well as colless gas who have should their experiences with implementing mode-catasion. We thank Augus Dootse, Ecder DoRs, Davide (Dorse, Naries Citiese, Ondo) Indevelopment of several Board for shipful occurrences. We use also general to Early Board for shaping his poin-wise matching State code, Joshun Dos for the LEAPS data, and to Kathleen Boegle and Kristen Historien for providing us with their constructed BFLS data. All views are of course our error.

- 1-

In pursuit of balance: randomization in practice in development field experiments

We present new evidence on the randomization methods used in existing experiments, and new simulations comparing these methods. We find that many papers do not describe the randomization in detail, implying that better reporting is needed. Our simulations suggest that in samples of 300 or more, the different methods perform similarly. However, for very persistent outcome variables, and in smaller samples, pair-wise matching and stratification perform best and appear to dominate the rerandomization methods commonly used in practice. The simulations also point to specific recommendations for which variables to



balance on, and for which controls to include in the ex post analysis.

October 01, 2008