

Authors

Esther Duflo Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Emmanuel Saez

THE ROLE OF INFORMATION AND SOCIAL INTERACTIONS IN RETIREMENT PLAN DECISIONS: EVIDENCE FROM A RANDOMIZED EXPERIMENT®

ESTHER DUPLO AND EMMANUEL SAEZ

This paper analyzes a modernized experiment to shed light on the role of information and social interactions in employees' decisions to enroll in a Tax information and social interactions in employees' decisions to enrell in a Tax Deformed Assount (TDA) notice ment plan within a large university. The experi-ment encouraged a random sample of employees in a subset of departments to attend a benefits information fair organized by the university, by promising a monetary reward for attendance. The experiment multiplod by mose than five the attendance rate of these treated individuals on lative to controls), and tripled that of untreated individuals within departments where some individuals were treated. TDA corrollment five and eleven months after the fair was significantly higher in desertments when some individuals were noted than the operations. higher in departments where some individuals were treated than in departments where nobody was treated. However, the effect on TDA enrollment is almost as large for individuals in treated departments who did not receive the encoura-ment as for those who did. We provide three interpretations—differential tre-ment effects, social network effects, and motivational reward of fects—to acce-for these results.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is growing concern in the United States about low levels of savings for retirement. For most U. S. families, employers' pensions are the main source of cash income during retirement, over and above Social Security benefits (see, e.g., Poterba, Venti, and Wise [1996]). However, over the last 25 years, traditional Defined Benefits and Defined Contribution employer pension plans where employee participation is mandatory have been partly replaced with Tax Deferred Account (TDA) retirement plans such as 401(k)s where employees choose whether to partici-pate and how much to save for their retirement (see Poterba, Venti, and Wise [2001]). As a result, most U. S. workers now have to make a decision about how much to save for their retirement,

"We thank Damn Acemoglu, George Akerlof, Orley Ashenkilar, Joshua Angrist, David Auter, Abhjit Banerjee, David Card, Edward Glasser, Lerenz Gaette, Jonathan Guider, Gaide Imbane, Lawrenz Katt, Aeffrey Kling, Rotend Kanney, Michael Krumer, Alan Krauger, David Luibon, Brighte Madrian, Sendal Mullainathan, Thornes Fiketty, Matthew Babis, two anonymous referees, and numerous seminar participants for very helpful or mesents and discussions. We gastefully acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation (SES-06-SSSS). We are especially grateful to all the members of the Basefts Office of the University for their help and support in ognazing the experiment. This paper does not reflect the views of the University or its Bosefts Office.

6 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College and the Ma Technology. Flor Quarterly Journal of Economics, August 2001

The Role of Information and Social **Interactions in Retirement Plan Decisions: Evidence from a Randomized Experiment**

This paper analyzes a randomized experiment to shed light on the role of information and social interactions in employees' decisions to enroll in a Tax Deferred Account (TDA) retirement plan within a large university. The experiment encouraged a random sample of employees in a subset of departments to attend a bene!ts information fair organized by the university, by promising a monetary reward for attendance. The experiment multiplied by more than !ve the attendance rate of these treated individuals (relative to controls), and



tripled that of untreated individuals within departments where some individuals were treated. TDA enrollment !ve and eleven months after the fair was signi!cantly higher in departments where some individuals were treated than in departments where nobody was treated. However, the effect on TDA enrollment is almost as large for individuals in treated departments who did not receive the encouragement as for those who did. We provide three interpretations—differential treatment effects, social network effects, and motivational reward effects—to account for these results.

August 01, 2003