

## Authors

Michael Kremer The University of Chicago

Edward Miguel University of California, Berkeley Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA)

THE ILLUSION OF SUSTAINABILITY\*

MICHAEL KREMER AND EDWARD MICEEL

We use a randomized or alration of a Konyan deworming program to outlinate poor effects in technology adoption and to shol light on fineign aid donormovement towards sustainable community provision of public goods. Deworming is a public good since mush of its availa benefit comes through reduced disease transmission. People were how Klay to take deworming if their direct finet-order or indirect scoend-order social contacts were exposed to dewormlag. Effect to replace subsidies with sustainable were neutred measures were ineffective: a drug costs accoregy program reduced take-up (b) permut, back he donardion dif not affect behavior, and a mobilization intervention fulfed. At least in this context, it appears unstachtie for a one-time intervention to generate sustainable voluntary lead public goods provision.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The history of overseas development assistance can be viewed as a series of attempts to identify and address ever more fundamental causes of global poverty. Oxfam, for example, founded in 1942 as the Oxford Committee for Famine Relief, later shifted to "support for self-help schemes whereby commanities improved their own water supplies, farming practices, and health provision".<sup>1</sup> In the 1950s and 1960s, it was widely argued that long-run economic performance depended on capital investment and that raising avariage through a "big pubt" (Bosenstein-Rodin 1943) would launch countries into self-sustaining growth or "take-off" (Bostow 1960). Accordingly, the World Bank largely funded infrastructure like dams and reade. By the 1980s intenational financial institution policymakers decided that capital accumulation and technological progress depended to as much on investment and careful engineering but rather on a better

<sup>10</sup> Wothank 1CS Advises and the Konyn Ministry of Hisalth for their susperation is all atages of the project and would expecially like to acknowledge the contributions of Adris Bamson, Elimbert Bussley, Labos Roman, Simon Brocker, Lerenzo Cambary, Pascalane Dapas, Alfved Lasha, Sybric Moulin, Robert Namsery, Carel Nickan, Peter Waltah Nasakio, Robergar Wasses, and the ontite 'PSDP' staff, without whem the project would not have been possible, Adu Balbeer, McRass Cambaro, Theorem, That Groun, Really Outer, Anory Couldble, and capacitally Jose Samako, Brown, That Groun, Really Outer, Anory Couldble, and capacitally Jose Samako, Brown, That Groun, Really Outer, Anory Couldble, and capacitally Jose Samas, Christ Udy, and mannersons seminary participants for huberd suggestions. We are grouted by Reamonia support from the Worki Bank, NIP Fegury Intertional College, 1011 "Worki 2020, NSY CBS-01161101, and Barchely Conter for Hankh Bassarch. All errors an our own.

n 2007 by the President and Fellova of Barvard College and the Massachusette Institute o Technology. The Gaussich Ascend of Resonances, August 2007

1007

## **The Illusion of Sustainability**

We use a randomized evaluation of a Kenyan deworming program to estimate peer effects in technology adoption and to shed light on foreign aid donors' movement towards sustainable community provision of public goods. Deworming is a public good since much of its social benefit comes through reduced disease transmission. People were less likely to take deworming if their direct first-order or indirect second-order social contacts were exposed to deworming. Efforts to replace subsidies with sustainable worm control measures were ineffective: a drug cost-recovery program reduced take-up 80 percent; health education did not affect behavior, and a mobilization intervention failed. At least in this context, it appears unrealistic for a one-time intervention to generate sustainable voluntary local public goods provision.



August 01, 2007