

Authors Michael Kremer

The University of Chicago

Paul Glewwe University of Minnesota

Sylvie Moulin

Eric Zitzewitz Eric Zitzewitz



JOURNAL OF Development RCONOMICS

Retrospective vs. prospective analyses of school inputs: the case of flip charts in Kenya

> Paul Glewwe<sup>4,8</sup>, Michael Kremer<sup>b</sup> Sylvie Moulin<sup>c</sup>, Eric Zitzewitz<sup>d</sup>

\*Department of Applied Economics, University of Minnesota (1994 Baford Ave., St. Paul, MN 55100, USA <sup>8</sup>Harward University and NMER, USA <sup>8</sup>Da Work Anni, USA <sup>4</sup>Stranford University, USA

Abstract

This paper company netwopsetive and prospective analyses of the effect of flip charts on text scores in rarel Kenyan schark. Retrespective estimates magnet that Hip charts mise text scores by up to 20% of a similar divisition. Yet prospective estimators based on a mademized with parvide tex vidence that Bip charts increase text scores. One interpretention is that the netwopsective results suffered from smitted variable biss. If the direction of this bias were similar in other strengective readyes of charts increase text scores contribute, the effects of inputs may be more modest than retrospective studies suggest. A difference-in-differences retrospective estimator scores to reduce bias, but in requires additional assumptions and is fensible for only some advantational inputs. © 2004 Elsovier B.V. All sights reserved.

Kryword: Education, Hip charts; Developing countries, Kenya; Randomioal trial; Pergram evaluation

or 121: 012

## 1. Introduction

Most analyses of the effect of educational inputs are based on retrospective studies, which compare schools with different levels of inputs (Harushek, 1995). One potential weakness of this approach is that observed inputs may be correlated with omitted variables that afflect educational outcomes. This could potentially bias outcomes in either direction. For example, if parents who provide better home environments for children (a characteristic which is typically unobserval) tend to organize to obtain more observed school inputs

\* Componding author: Tel.: +1.612-625-0225. E-mail addres: pglewret(japecann.edu (P. Glewret).

0304-3878/5 - see Bost matter O 2004 Ebevier B.V. All rights aver-oil. doi:10.1016/j.jdevaco.2003.12.010

## **Retrospective vs. Prospective Analyses of School Inputs: The Case of Flip Charts in Kenya**

This paper compares retrospective and prospective analyses of the effect of flip charts on test scores in rural Kenyan schools. Retrospective estimates suggest that flip charts raise test scores by up to 20% of a standard deviation. Yet prospective estimators based on a randomized trial provide no evidence that flip charts increase test scores. One interpretation



is that the retrospective results suffered from omitted variable bias. If the direction of this bias were similar in other retrospective analyses of educational inputs in developing countries, the effects of inputs may be more modest than retrospective studies suggest. A difference-in-differences retrospective estimator seems to reduce bias, but it requires additional assumptions and is feasible for only some educational inputs.

June 01, 2004