

Researchers

Julio Saul Solís Arce
WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Shana Warren
Associate Director, Path-to-Scale Research & Research Scientist

Niccoló Meriggi
International Growth Centre

Alexandra Scacco
WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Nina McMurry
WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Maarten Voors
Wageningen University & Research

Georgiy Syunyaev
Columbia University

Amyr Malik
Yale University Institute for Global Health

Dean Karlan
Northwestern University

Michael Callen
University of California, San Diego

Matthieu Teachout
International Growth Centre

Macartan Humphreys
Columbia University

Mushfiq Mobarak
Yale University

Saad B. Omer
Yale University Institute for Global Health

Staff

Elliott Collins
Research Economist and Director of Poverty Measurement

Margarita Rosa Cabra Garcia
Senior Research Associate

Jean Leodomir Habarimana Mfura
Research and Policy Coordinator

Sofia Jaramillo
Senior Research Manager

Filippo Cuccaro
Research Coordinator

Achille Mignondo Tchibozo
Research Manager

María Juliana Otalora
Research Analyst

Timeline

2020-2021

Sample Size

44,260 respondents (20,176 individuals from 10 LMICs and 24,084 from the USA and Russia)

Research Implemented by IPA

Yes

COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance and Hesitancy in Low-and-Middle Income Countries

Abstract

As COVID-19 vaccination ramps up worldwide, understanding factors that may lead people in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) to reject COVID-19 vaccination is of global concern, as lags in vaccination could facilitate global spread of virus variants. Researchers surveyed nearly 45,000 individuals in 10 LMICs, the United States, and Russia between June 2020 and January 2021 on vaccine acceptance and trusted sources for vaccination advice. They found high levels of vaccine acceptance in LMICs, with an average acceptance rate of 80 percent among respondents, and self-protection as the primary motivation. Respondents' top cited concern with the vaccines are potential side effects. Finally, health workers are considered the most trusted information sources on vaccines. Governments can improve vaccination campaigns by appealing to self-protection and partnering with health workers to promote public health messaging.

Policy Issue

Safe and effective vaccines against COVID-19 are a critical tool to control the pandemic. Following clinical trials, several vaccines have been approved in multiple countries and, as of December 2020, are being distributed across the globe. Despite gains in vaccine sharing and donation agreements, as of July 2021, global vaccine distribution remains highly unequal, with much of the current supply and uptake in high-income countries.¹

While effective and equitable distribution of the vaccines is a key policy priority, ensuring the population's acceptance and adherence to vaccine regimens is equally important. Acceptance of vaccines and trust in the institutions that administer them are likely key to the success of any vaccination campaign.² To effectively promote immunization and devise messaging strategies, policymakers and healthcare providers need to know if people are willing to take a vaccine, the reasons why they are willing or unwilling to do so, and the factors influencing their decision-making.

Several studies investigate high-income country residents' willingness to take a potential COVID-19 vaccine,^{3,4} yet comparatively little is known about acceptance rates in low- and middle-income countries, where the majority of the world's population resides. Understanding factors that may lead people in lower-income settings to reject COVID-19 vaccination is of global concern, since a lag in vaccination in the developing world could facilitate the spread of new variants of the virus to other countries and potentially delay global recovery from the pandemic.

Context of the Evaluation

Acceptance of childhood vaccination for common diseases —such as measles (MCV), Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG) and diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis (DTP)—is generally high in low- and middle-income countries, providing reasons for optimism about future uptake of COVID-19 vaccines. Still, existing surveys on COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in high-income countries document large variation, both across and within countries, including in settings where overall vaccination rates are high.⁵ These studies highlight concerns about COVID-19 vaccine safety, and particularly concerns about the speed of vaccine development, as reasons for hesitancy in higher income settings. Similar concerns may apply in lower- and middle-income settings.

Additional reasons for hesitancy may feature more prominently in lower-income settings. Reported COVID-19 mortality rates have been lower in many of these countries than those in higher income countries, though trends can quickly change.⁶ If individuals in turn feel the risk of disease is less serious, they may be less inclined to accept perceived risks associated with taking a recently-developed vaccine. Previous studies have also highlighted factors such as concerns about healthcare quality,⁷ negative historical experiences,⁸ weak support from traditional leaders,⁹ and mistrust in government¹⁰ as barriers to healthcare utilization in lower-income countries.

To understand the factors that may lead people in low- and middle-income countries to reject COVID-19 vaccination, researchers developed and deployed a common set of questions across 15 studies in Africa, South Asia, and Latin America: seven in low-income countries (Burkina Faso, Mozambique, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Uganda), five in lower-middle-income countries (India, Nepal, Nigeria, Pakistan), and one in an upper-middle-income country (Colombia). Researchers compared these findings to those from two countries at the forefront of vaccine research and development, Russia and the United States.

Details of the Intervention

Researchers conducted 15 studies between June 2020 and January 2021 through phone-based and online platforms. Studies varied in terms of geographic scope, sampling methodology, and survey modality. Studies from Burkina Faso, Colombia, Rwanda, and Sierra Leone used nationally-representative samples of active mobile phone numbers reached through random digit dialing (RDD). Studies in the United States and Russia were conducted online using quota samples obtained from private survey companies, and the remaining eight studies targeted sub-national populations. Taken together, the studies total 44,260 respondents: 20,176 individuals from 10 LMICs and 24,084 from the USA and Russia.

The main outcome measure in the surveys was vaccine acceptance. Respondents who answered “yes” to taking a vaccine once available were then asked about their main motivations, for example whether it was self-protection or protection for others, for taking the vaccine. If the respondent said they would not be willing to take the vaccine, researchers followed up with a question to understand respondents’ reasons for not taking the vaccine.

Finally, regardless of respondents' expressed willingness to take the vaccine, researchers asked about actors and institutions who would be influential in their decision. Researchers also collected information on respondents' gender, age, and education level to assess response variation across demographic groups. In addition to producing country-level estimates, researchers combined data from all studies (other than the USA and Russia) to calculate an aggregate estimate for all low- and middle-income countries.

Results and Policy Lessons

Researchers found relatively high levels of vaccine acceptance in lower-income settings, with an average acceptance rate of 80 percent across the 10 countries.

Furthermore, the acceptance rate in every low- to middle-income country sample was higher than the USA (64.6 percent) and Russia (30.4 percent). Household surveys from Africa (Burkina Faso, Mozambique, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Uganda), Asia (Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan), and Latin America (Colombia) showed a vaccine acceptance rate ranging between 66.5 percent (Burkina Faso) and 96.6 percent (Nepal).

The most common reason given for vaccine acceptance was personal protection against COVID-19 infection, with an average of 91 percent of respondents in low-to middle income countries, 94 percent in the United States, and 76 percent in Russia. In the lower-income settings, people reported willingness to take the COVID-19 vaccine in order to protect their families, though at much lower rates for an average of 36 percent of respondents. Compared to self-protection, only 14 percent of respondents cited protecting the community as an important stated reason for getting vaccinated. This evidence suggests that public appeals promoting the benefits of vaccination to personal wellbeing may be more effective than those promoting the benefits to the wellbeing of others.

The most common reason expressed for reluctance to take the vaccine in the lower income countries was concern about side effects, cited by an average of 44 percent of LMIC respondents. Respondents in the US (79 percent) and Russia (37 percent) similarly expressed this concern.

Health workers were considered the most trusted sources of information about COVID-19 vaccines, as reported by an average 48 percent of respondents in developing countries. Government (19 percent) and family or friends (17 percent) were also listed as trusted sources. These findings suggest that public health programs and campaigns that engage local health workers may be particularly effective in encouraging timely and complete vaccine uptake (two doses), and persuading people who are still hesitant to take the vaccine.

While global vaccine distribution has skewed heavily toward higher income countries to date, the study's findings suggest that prioritizing distribution to LMICs may be a more efficient strategy for achieving immunity on a global scale and preventing the emergence of variants than attempting to convince high-income country residents who are still hesitant.

Once vaccine distribution to LMICs begins in earnest, these findings suggest that vaccination

campaigns should focus on converting positive intentions into uptake, including through investment in ‘last-mile’ nudges. Recruiting health workers to deliver vaccine information, leveraging pro-vaccine social norms, and messaging focused on vaccine effectiveness and safety may be effective in addressing remaining hesitancy.

Full author list: Julio S. Solis Arce, Shana S. Warren, Niccolò F. Meriggi, Alexandra Scacco, Nina McMurry, Maarten Jan Voors, Georgiy Syunyaev, Aryn Abdul Malik, Samya Aboutajdine, Opeyemi Adejo, Deborah Anigo, Alex Armand, Saher Asad, Martin Atyera, Britta Augsburg, Manisha Awasthi, Gloria Eden Ayesiga, Antonella Bancalari, Martina Björkman Nyqvist, Ekaterina Borisova, Constantin Manuel Bosancianu, Margarita Rosa Cabra García, Ali Cheema, Elliott Collins, Filippo Cuccaro, Ahsan Zia Farooqi, Tatheer Fatima, Mattia Fracchia, Mery Len Galindo Soria, Andrea Guariso, Ali Hasanain, Sofía Jaramillo, Sellu Kallon, Anthony Kamwesigye, Arjun Kharel, Sarah Kreps, Madison Levine, Rebecca Littman, Mohammad Malik, Gisele Manirabaruta, Jean Léodomir Habarimana Mfura, Fatoma Momoh, Alberto Mucauque, Imamo Mussa, Jean Aime Nsabimana, Isaac Obara, María Juliana Otálora, Wendemi Béchir Ouédraogo, Toubia Bakary Pare, Melina R. Platas, Laura Polanco, Javaeria Ashraf Qureshi, Mariam Raheem, Vasudha Ramakrishna, Ismail Rendrá, Taimur Shah, Sarene Eyla Shaked, Jacob N. Shapiro, Jakob Svensson, Ahsan Tariq, Achille Mignondo Tchibozo, Hamid Ali Tiwana, Bhartendu Trivedi, Corey Vernot, Pedro C. Vicente, Laurin B Weissinger, Basit Zafar, Baobao Zhang, Dean Karlan, Michael Callen, Matthieu Teachout, Macartan Humphreys, Ahmed Mushfiq Mobarak, Saad B. Omer

Sources

1. Economist Intelligence Unit. More than 85 poor countries will not have widespread access to coronavirus vaccines before 2023. *The Economist* 2021.
2. Figueiredo A de, Simas C, Karafillakis E, Paterson P, Larson HJ. Mapping global trends in vaccine confidence and investigating barriers to vaccine uptake: A large-scale retrospective temporal modelling study. *The Lancet* 2020; 396: 898–908.
3. Boyon N. Global attitudes on a COVID-19 vaccine: Ipsos survey for the World Economic Forum. Published November 5, 2020.
<https://www.ipsos.com/en/global-attitudes-covid-19-vaccine-october-2020>.
4. Malik A, McFadden S, Elharake J, Omer S. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020; 26: 100495.
5. Boyon N. Global attitudes on a COVID-19 vaccine: Ipsos survey for the World Economic Forum. Published November 5, 2020.
<https://www.ipsos.com/en/global-attitudes-covid-19-vaccine-october-2020>.
6. Ritchie, Hannah, et al. “Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19).” Our World in Data, Mar. 2020. [ourworldindata.org](https://ourworldindata.org/covid-deaths), <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-deaths>.
7. Christensen D, Dube O, Haushofer J, Siddiqi B, Voors M. Building resilient health systems:

Experimental evidence from sierra leone and the 2014 ebola outbreak. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2021.

8. Lowes SR, Montero E. The legacy of colonial medicine in central africa. 2018.

9. Jegede AS. What led to the nigerian boycott of the polio vaccination campaign? PLOS Medicine 2007; 4(3).

10. Blair R, Morse B, Tsai L. Public health and public trust: Survey evidence from the ebola virus disease epidemic in liberia. Social Science & Medicine 2017; 172: 89-97.

July 16, 2021