

## Authors

Dean Yang  
University of Michigan

Tanya Rosenblat  
University of Michigan

James Riddell IV  
University of Michigan

## Correcting Perceived Social Distancing Norms to Combat COVID-19<sup>1</sup>

James Allen IV<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Arlete Mahumane<sup>4</sup>, James Riddell IV<sup>5</sup>, Tanya Rosenblat<sup>1,6</sup>, Dean  
Yang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, and Hang Yu<sup>7,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics, University of Michigan

<sup>2</sup>Ford School of Public Policy, University of Michigan

<sup>3</sup>Population Studies Center, University of Michigan

<sup>4</sup>Beira Operational Research Center, National Institute of Health, Mozambique

<sup>5</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Michigan Medical School

<sup>6</sup>School of Information, University of Michigan

<sup>7</sup>National School of Development, Peking University

<sup>8</sup>Institute of South-South Cooperation and Development, Peking University

Version: March 26, 2021

### Abstract

Can informing people of high rates of community support for social distancing encourage them to do more of it? Our Mozambican study population underestimated the rate of community support for social distancing, believing support to be only 69%, while the true share was 98%. In theory, informing people of high rates of community support has ambiguous effects on social distancing, depending on whether a perceived-infectiousness effect dominates a free-riding effect. We randomly assigned a “social norm correction” treatment, informing people of true high rates of community support for social distancing. We examine an improved measure of social distancing combining detailed self-reports with reports on the respondent by others in the community. The treatment increases social distancing where COVID-19 case loads are high (where the perceived-infectiousness effect dominated), but decreases it where case loads are low (where free-riding dominates). Separately, randomized local-leader endorsements of social distancing are ineffective. As COVID-19 case loads continue to rise, interventions such as the “social norm correction” treatment should show increased effectiveness at promoting social distancing.

JEL Classification: I32, D91, O12

Keywords: COVID-19, Social Distancing, Health Behavior, Mozambique

<sup>1</sup>Contacts: [allen@umich.edu](mailto:allen@umich.edu), [chenhang@umich.edu](mailto:chenhang@umich.edu). Francisco Leontich provided top-notch leadership and field management. Patricia Fickling, Ryan McWay, and Maggie Barwood provided excellent research assistance. Julie Eick, Laura Kozicki, and Laura Taggart's great management was terrific. This work is supported by the Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL) Innovation in Government Initiative through a grant from The Effective Altruism Global Health and Development Fund (grant number EGR-1906), the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office awarded through Innovation for Poverty Action (IPA) Phase II Recovery Program (grant number MTR019-306), the Michigan Institute for Teaching and Research in Economics (MITRE) Under Fund (grant number 0100260), and the National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health (grant number T32AG000211). Our protocols were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) at the University of Michigan (Health Sciences and Social and Behavioral Sciences IRB, approval number IRB000113011) and the Mozambique Ministry of Health National Committee on Bioethics for Health (CNBIO) scheme number 202/CNBIO-20. The study was registered in the ISRA ICT Registry on May 26, 2020, registration ID number: A/EAB/70-0000002-10-0001-04-0000-1.0. The content of this paper is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the aforementioned institutions.

# Correcting Perceived Social Distancing Norms to Combat COVID-19

Can informing people of high rates of community support for social distancing encourage them to do more of it? Our Mozambican study population underestimated the rate of community support for social distancing, believing support to be only 69%, while the true share was 98%. In theory, informing people of high rates of community support has ambiguous effects on social distancing, depending on whether a perceived-infectiousness effect dominates a free-riding effect. We randomly assigned a “social norm correction” treatment, informing people of true high rates of community support for social distancing. We

examine an improved measure of social distancing combining detailed self-reports with reports on the respondent by others in the community. The treatment increases social distancing where COVID-19 case loads are high (where the perceived-infectiousness effect dominates), but decreases it where case loads are low (where free-riding dominates). Separately, randomized local-leader endorsements of social distancing are ineffective. As COVID-19 case loads continue to rise, interventions such as the “social norm correction” treatment should show increased effectiveness at promoting social distancing.

April 01, 2021