

## Authors

Francisco Campos  
World Bank

Michael Frese  
Asia School of Businesses

Markus Goldstein  
World Bank

Leonardo Iacovone  
World Bank

Hillary Johnson  
World Bank

David McKenzie  
World Bank

Mona Mensmann  
University of Cologne

## RESEARCH

### ECONOMICS

# Teaching personal initiative beats traditional training in boosting small business in West Africa

Francisco Campos,<sup>1,2</sup> Michael Frese,<sup>3,4,5</sup> Markus Goldstein,<sup>1,2</sup> Leonardo Iacovone,<sup>1,2</sup> Hillary C. Johnson,<sup>1,2</sup> David McKenzie,<sup>1,2</sup> Mona Mensmann<sup>6,7</sup>

Standard business training programs aim to boost the incomes of self-employed business owners in developing countries by teaching basic financial and marketing practices, yet the impacts of such programs are mixed. We tested whether a psychology-based personal initiative training approach, which teaches a proactive mindset and focuses on entrepreneurial behaviors, could have more success. A randomized controlled trial in Togo assigned microcredit enterprise owners to a control group ( $n = 505$ ), a leading business training program ( $n = 505$ ), or a personal initiative training program ( $n = 505$ ). Four follow-up surveys tracked outcomes for firms over 2 years and showed that personal initiative training increased firm profits by 35%, compared with a statistically insignificant 2% for traditional training. The training is cost-effective, paying for itself within 1 year.

A large share of the labor force in most developing countries is engaged in small-scale entrepreneurship (1). However, most of these businesses are “too small and utterly self-sufficient” from the many others around them” (2) to ever grow beyond subsistence size. What distinguishes these individuals who end up growing their businesses from the rest? There has been a long-standing debate about whether such successful entrepreneurs “born” or “made” (3). The “born” view argues that entrepreneurs differ from others in their innate personality traits and desire to succeed, whereas the “made” view argues that entrepreneurs can be created through education and experience.

The billions of dollars spent by governments, non-profit organizations, and non-governmental organizations providing business training programs indicate entrepreneurship can be taught. Traditional business training programs such as those offered by the U.S. Small Business Administration, the International Labor Organization’s Start and Improve Your Business program, the International Finance Corporation’s Business Edge program, and Freedom from Hunger’s program for microfinance clients aim to teach small business owners to use better business practices—for example, record keeping, stock control, and simple marketing. There is increasing evidence in economics that better management and improved business practices matter for productivity in both large (4) and small (5) firms. However, few evaluations of traditional business training programs offered to existing firms have found sustained impacts on profits, particularly for women-

owned firms (6–10). In addition to methodological issues such as a lack of statistical power in many existing randomized controlled trials, two possible explanations for this lack of impact are (1) that traditional training does not result in a large enough change in the business practices that it aims to teach and (2) that it is not teaching the right set of skills (11).

One promising approach to improving these outcomes has been to incorporate insights from other fields into the standard accounting and economics-based approach. Examples include a “sales of the day”-based training program drawing from behavioral economics (9) and programs based on insights from marketing science (12). What characterizes these programs is that they aim to improve managerial know ledge. In contrast, the psychology literature has long noted problems of entrepreneurial success that go beyond knowledge and standard economic variables (13). However, few attempts have been made to experimentally evaluate the extent of teaching such attributes to owners of small-scale businesses in developing countries. Here we show how the use of a psychology-based training program that develops key behaviors associated with proactive entrepreneurial mindsets can deliver lasting improvements for small business owners.

Personal initiative is defined as a self-starting, future-oriented, and persistent proactive mindset (14, 15). Such a mindset implies a readiness to act as a result of cognitive, affective, and motivational orientation and organization that is in tune with solving entrepreneurial challenges. The personal initiative mindset is key to entrepreneurial success, because it involves looking for ways to differentiate one’s business from others, anticipate problems, better oversee microbooks, and better better planning for opportunities and long-term preparation. A pilot experiment (16) with a sample of 100 Ugandan business owners suggested the potential for such training to ease

to build a mindset of greater personal initiative, leading to business improvements within a year. Using a large sample and a more comprehensive training program, we conducted a randomized controlled trial that directly compares personal initiative training with traditional business training and demonstrate the greater effectiveness of the former approach. Our results provide a useful general lesson for those with an entrepreneurial personality” and “made by learning specific entrepreneurial practices” developments by showing that training can teach people to develop a mindset with attributes such as proactiveness that are often assumed to be innate.

We worked with a sample of 1000 micro-enterprises in Lomé, Togo, selected from applicants to a government project financed by the World Bank. Applicants had to be business for at least 12 months, have fewer than 50 employees, operate outside of agriculture, and not be a formally registered company. Section 1 of the supplementary materials provides full details of the selection process and a timeline (17). A baseline survey of these applicants was undertaken between October and December 2013. The business owners were almost equally split by gender (35% female), had an average age of 41 years, and had an average of 8 years of education (table S1). The sample contained a broad mix of industries (25% manufacturing, 40% commerce, and 25% services) with the businesses earning a mean of 24,300 CFA francs (US\$40) and a median of 14,000 CFA francs (US\$24) in monthly profits at baseline (18). Firms had a mean of three employees and a median of two.

The initial state of business practices in these firms suggested considerable scope for improvement. This was particularly true for record-keeping. Only 27% of firms kept accounts books, and only 6.7% had a written budget. We also measured marketing, operations management, inventory control, and human resource practices and found that only one-third of firms used advertising or publicity, 7% compared sales performance with objectives, and 60% visited competitors to compare prices or product offerings. Firms were using a mean of 16 out of the 30 different practices that we measured at baseline. Business owners started with a reasonably high mean personal initiative level—4.2 on a five-point Likert scale, with values ranging from 1.1 to 5.0—but still had room for improvement. Section 2 of the supplementary materials describes the scale and its construction in greater detail.

The 1000 firms were stratified by gender and sector, then grouped into triplets according to baseline profile. Within each triplet, firms were randomly assigned to a control group ( $n = 300$ ), traditional business training treatment group ( $n = 300$ ), and personal initiative training treatment group ( $n = 300$ ). Table S2 shows the balance of baseline characteristics among the three groups.

The traditional business training treatment group was linked to receive the Business Edge training program, which is an internationally recognized program developed by the International Finance Corporation. The content of the training

Downloaded from <http://www.sciencemag.org/> on November 21, 2017

<sup>1</sup>The World Bank, Washington, DC 20433, USA; <sup>2</sup>The World Bank, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia; <sup>3</sup>University of Cologne, Germany; <sup>4</sup>University of Cologne, Germany; <sup>5</sup>University of Cologne, Germany; <sup>6</sup>University of Cologne, Germany; <sup>7</sup>University of Cologne, Germany



www.sciencemag.org/content/357/6357/1287/suppl/DC1

### Supplementary Materials for

#### Teaching personal initiative beats traditional training in boosting small business in West Africa

Francisco Campos,\* Michael Frese,\* Markus Goldstein,\* Leonardo Iacovone,\* Hillary C. Johnson,\* David McKenzie,\*\*† Mona Memmann\*

\*All authors contributed equally to this work.

†Corresponding author. Email: dmckenzie@worldbank.org

Published 22 September 2017, *Science* 357, 1287 (2017)  
DOI: 10.1126/science.1250329

#### This PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods  
Supplementary Text  
Figs. S1 and S2  
Tables S1 to S16  
References

# Teaching personal initiative beats traditional training in boosting small business in West Africa

Standard business training programs aim to boost the incomes of the millions of self-employed business owners in developing countries by teaching basic financial and marketing practices, yet the impacts of such programs are mixed. We tested whether a psychology-based personal initiative training approach, which teaches a proactive mindset and focuses on entrepreneurial behaviors, could have more success. A randomized controlled trial in Togo assigned microenterprise owners to a control group ( $n = 500$ ), a leading business training program ( $n = 500$ ), or a personal initiative training program ( $n = 500$ ). Four follow-up surveys tracked outcomes for firms over 2 years and showed that personal initiative training increased firm profits by 30%, compared with a statistically insignificant 11% for traditional training. The training is cost-effective, paying for itself within 1 year.

September 22, 2017