

Authors

Marshall Burke Stanford University

Lauren Falcao Bergquist Yale University

Edward Miguel University of California, Berkeley Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA)

SELLING LOW AND BUYING HIGH: AN ARBITRAGE PUZZLE IN KENYAN VILLAGES

Marshall Burke, ^{1,2,3}; Lauren Falcao Bergquist, ⁴ Edward Miguel ⁵

¹Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University

²Center on Food Security and the Environment, Stanford University

³National Bureau of Economic Research

⁴Becker Friedman Institute, University of Chicago

⁵Department of Economica, University of California, Berkeley

October 12, 2017

Abstract

Large and regular seasonal price fluctuations in local grain markets appear to offer African farmers substantial inter-temporal arbitrage opportunities, but these opportunities remain largely manuphited: small-scale farmers are commonly observed to "sel flow and buy high" rather than the reverse. In a field experiment in Kenya, we show that credit market imperfections limit farmers' abilities to move grain inter-temporally. Providing theely access to credit allows farmers to purchase at lower prices and sell at higher prices, increasing farm positie and generating a return on investment of 28%. To understand general equilibrium effects of these changes in behavior, we vary the density of loan offers across locations. We document significant effects of the credit intervention on seasonal price fluctuations in local grain markets, and show that these GE effects greatly affect our individual level profitability estimates. We also find suggestive evidence that these GE effects generate benefits for program now secipients, benefits which are unlikely to be recorped by a financial institution and suggest a potential role for public intervention. In contrast to existing experimental work, our results thus indicate a setting in which microcredit can improve from positiability, and suggest that GE effects consubstantially shape estimates of microcredit's effectiveness. Failure to consider these GE effects conditional substituates of the social welfare besefts of microcredit interventions.

JEL codes: D21, D51, G21, O13, O16, Q12

 ${\bf Keywords}: storage; arbitrage; microcredit; credit constraints; agriculture$

"We thank Ryle Emerick, Jeremy Magrador, and Chris Barnett for useful discussions, and thank seminor per triprate at Breinley, Stanford, Krilgay, ASSA, and PacTive for useful communita. We also thank their better LeFrancis Ben Weissen, and Innovations for Powrity Action for conflict research assistance in the field, and One Acre Pan for postnering with us in the intervention. We guidefully acknowledge funding from the Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiation and an assessment dozen. All revers are one.

1

Selling low and buying high: An arbitrage puzzle in Kenyan villages

Large and regular seasonal price fluctuations in local grain markets appear to offer African farmers substantial inter-temporal arbitrage opportunities, but these opportunities remain largely unexploited: small-scale farmers are commonly observed to "sell low and buy high" rather than the reverse. In a field experiment in Kenya, we show that credit market imperfections limit farmers' abilities to move grain inter-temporally. Providing timely access to credit allows farmers to purchase at lower prices and sell at higher prices, increasing farm profits and generating a return on investment of 28%. To understand general equilibrium



effects of these changes in behavior, we vary the density of loan offers across locations. We document significant effects of the credit intervention on seasonal price fluctuations in local grain markets, and show that these GE effects greatly affect our individual level profitability estimates. We also find suggestive evidence that these GE effects generate benefits for program non-recipients, benefits which are unlikely to be recouped by a financial institution and suggest a potential role for public intervention. In contrast to existing experimental work, our results thus indicate a setting in which microcredit can improve firm profitability, and suggest that GE effects can substantially shape estimates of microcredit's effectiveness. Failure to consider these GE effects could lead to substantial misestimates of the social welfare benefits of microcredit interventions.

October 12, 2017