

Authors

Joshua Blumenstock
University of California, Berkeley

Michael Callen
University of California, San Diego

Tarek Ghani
Washington University in Saint Louis

American Economic Review 2018, 108 (12): 2868–2902
<https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.2017.1676>

Why Do Defaults Affect Behavior? Experimental Evidence from Afghanistan*

By JOSHUA BLUMENSTOCK, MICHAEL CALLEN, AND TAREK GHANI*

We report on an experiment examining why default options impact behavior. By randomly assigning employees to different varieties of a salary-linked savings account, we find that default enrollment increases participation by 40 percentage points—an effect equivalent to providing a 50 percent matching incentive. We then use a series of experimental interventions to differentiate between explanations for the default effect, which we conclude is driven largely by present-biased preferences and the cognitive cost of thinking through different savings scenarios. Default assignment also changes employees' attitudes toward saving, and makes them more likely to actively decide to save after the study concludes. (JEL: C93, D14, D91, O12)

Default assignments impact behavior. This observation is among the most influential and policy-relevant insights from behavioral economics (Madrian 2014). From organ donation (Johnson and Goldstein 2003; Abadie and Gay 2006) and vaccine use (Chapman, Li, and Colby 2010) to exercise (DellaVigna and Malmendier 2006) and marketing (Johnson, Bellman, and Loehse 2002), and especially in the domain of retirement savings decisions (Madrian and Shea 2001; Choi et al. 2004; Beshears

*Blumenstock, School of Information, University of California at Berkeley, 302 South Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (email: jblumenstock@berkeley.edu); Callen, Rady School of Management, University of California at San Diego, 7500 La Jolla Village Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037 (email: mcallen@ucsd.edu); Ghani, Olin Business School, Washington University in St. Louis, Stein Way, 1 Brookings Drive, St. Louis, MO 63103 (email: tghani@wustl.edu). This paper was accepted to the AER under the guidance of Esther Duflo, Coeditor. We are grateful to Karim Khaja and the Russian team for partnership in the design and implementation of the M-Pasandoo mobile savings product. We thank Hugo Girard, Mohammad Inagradish, Shafiq Kaboli, Nasir Mahmood, Galen Morley, Olu Reith, Sam Safaullah, and Maria Qazi for excellent research assistance and Katy Doyle for outstanding project management. James Anderson, Eli Berman, Marianne Bertrand, Bradenton Blumberg, Leonardo Bursztyn, Stefano DellaVigna, Dean Karlan, Elizabeth Lyon, Craig McIntosh, Rodrigo Miquel, Sushil Mullainathan, Paul Niehaus, Matthew Oikari, Rohini Pande, Gerard Padilla-Moguel, Matthew Rabito, Gordon Rao, Stephen Ryan, Franz Schleich, Jacob Shapiro, Charles Spraggins, Christopher Woodhill, and many other colleagues provided insightful feedback. We thank seminar audiences at Berkeley, Georgetown, Harvard, Stanford, the University of Washington, the University of Zurich, and the World Bank. We acknowledge funding from the Citigroup Financial Capability Research Fund (FCRF), the Consortium on Financial Systems and Poverty (CFSP), the Empirical Studies of Conflict Project (ESCP), the Institute for Liberty, Technology, and Financial Inclusion (ILTFI), the UC San Diego Policy Design and Evaluation Lab (PDEL), and the USAID Development Impact Lab (USAID Agreement AID-OAA-A-13-00002). This work is also based on prior work supported by the US Department of Defense Malaria research initiative through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under award FA9550-06-1-0000. This RCT was registered in the American Economic Association Registry for randomized control trials under trial number AERCT18-000030. The authors declare that they have no relevant or material financial interests that relate to the research described in this paper.

*Go to <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.2017.1676> to visit the article page for additional materials and author disclosure statement(s).

2868

Why Do Defaults Affect Behavior? Experimental Evidence from Afghanistan

This paper reports results from a field experiment in Afghanistan designed to identify the reasons why defaults affect behavior. We have several reasons for studying defaults in Afghanistan. First, most of the existing evidence on default savings is from rich countries. Less is known about the potential for defaults to affect savings in poor countries, where most of the world's population resides, and where the economic benefits of increasing savings may be higher. Related, in developed countries, it is frequently the poorest and least financially sophisticated who respond most strongly to defaults; this

suggests defaults might be particularly effective in poor countries. Finally, while the lack of financial infrastructure has historically limited the relevance of default savings products in poor countries, the recent proliferation of mobile money, which already has more than one-half billion registered accounts worldwide, promises to provide billions of “unbanked” individuals with a financial infrastructure that could support the use of defaults.

October 01, 2018