

Authors

Jason Kerwin
University of Minnesota

Lasse Brune
Northwestern University

Income Timing, Temptation and Expenditures: A Field Experiment in Malawi

Lasse Brune and Jason Kerwin*

November 2014

Abstract

The canonical model of expenditure choices assumes that people are able to smooth their consumption. However, extensive empirical and theoretical work suggests that consumption smoothing is imperfect, so the precise timing of individuals' income may affect their behavior. We report results from a randomized field experiment in Malawi that varied the timing of workers' income receipt in two ways. First, payments were made either in weekly installments or as a monthly lump sum, in order to vary the extent to which workers had to save up to make profitable investments. Second, payments at a local market were made either on the weekend market day (Saturday) or the day before the market day (Friday), in order to vary the degree of temptation workers faced when receiving payments. We provide novel evidence that the frequency of payments matters for workers' ability to benefit from high-return investment opportunities. Workers in the monthly group have more cash left in the week after the last payday when the lump-sum payment was made. Moreover, they are 9.5 percentage points more likely than the weekly payment group (mean of 6.3%) to invest in a risk-free short-term "bond" that required a large payment and that was offered by the project in the week after the last payday. We argue that this result is driven by the lump-sum group's decreased savings constraints relative to the weekly payment group. In contrast, despite anecdotal evidence and suggestive survey data that, in this study's context, market days increase the temptation to overspend, being paid at the site of the local market on Saturday compared to Friday did not strongly matter for expenditure levels or temptation spending.

*Brune: Department of Economics, Yale University (lasse.brune@yale.edu); Kerwin: Department of Economics, University of Michigan (jkerwin@umich.edu). We thank Ndumu Longwe for outstanding fieldwork management, and Moffat Kayembe and Carl Bwensho from Malanje Mountain Conservation Trust for their cooperation and guidance. Esperanza Martinez Maldonado provided excellent research assistance. We are grateful to Dean Yang, Mel Stephens, Charlie Brown, Steve Leider, Rebecca Thornton, Jeff Smith, David Lian, John D'Acordo, Aditya Aladangady, and participants from the University of Michigan Informed Development and Informed Labor seminars for helpful comments. We are grateful for research support from the IPA and Yale Savings and Payments Research Fund (funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation), the University of Michigan Population Studies Center, and the Michigan Institute for Tracking and Research in Economics.

Income Timing, Temptation and Expenditures: A Field Experiment in Malawi

The canonical model of expenditure choices assumes that people are able to smooth their consumption. However, extensive empirical and theoretical work suggests that consumption smoothing is imperfect, so the precise timing of individuals' income may affect their behavior. We report results from a randomized field experiment in Malawi that varied the timing of workers' income receipt in two ways. First, payments were made either in weekly installments or as a monthly lump sum, in order to vary the extent to which workers had to save up to make profitable investments. Second, payments at a local market were made

either on the weekend market day (Saturday) or the day before the market day (Friday), in order to vary the degree of temptation workers faced when receiving payments. We provide novel evidence that the frequency of payments matters for workers' ability to benefit from high-return investment opportunities. Workers in the monthly group have more cash left in the week after the last payday when the lump sum payment was made. Moreover, they are 9.5 percentage points more likely than the weekly payment group (mean of 6.3%) to invest in a risk-free short-term "bond" that required a large payment and that was offered by the project in the week after the last payday. We argue that this result is driven by the lump sum group's decreased savings constraints relative to the weekly payment group. In contrast, despite anecdotal evidence and suggestive survey data that, in this study's context, market days increase the temptation to overspend, being paid at the site of the local market on Saturday compared to Friday did not strongly matter for expenditure levels or temptation spending

November 01, 2014