

Authors Michael Kremer The University of Chicago

Edward Miguel University of California, Berkeley Center for Effective Global Action (CEGA)

Econometrica, Vol. 72, No. 1 (January, 2004), 159-217

WORMS: IDENTIFY IN G IMPACTS ON EDUCATION AND HEALTH IN THE PRESENCE OF TREATMENT EXTERNALITIES

BY EDWARD MIGUEL AND MICHAEL KREMER¹

inal helminths-including hookwarm, roundwarm, whipwarm, and sch Intra stical helminflam-including hoolswarm, noundworm, whipworm, and achinizan-minish-inclus more than one equator of the world's populations. Studies in which med-ical transtructure is randomized at the individual level potentially doubly underestimate the benefits on if extinates, absolution generation is not according to the mo-duced disease transmission, and therefore also underestimating henefits for the transmis-ment gauge. We evaluate as According to the disease transment with deventing drugs was randomly placed into schools, rather than to individual, allow-ing ontimation of occuril go organized from affect than allow mole works of generative school by one-quarter, and was far an allow mole works of disease transmis-ing where garefugations. Devening unbiastically improved backhoil absolutiong school partici-lipation among towareated children in both transtruent individual transform generative dual these extenses likes are enough to justify fully which disea transmignations. These and these extenses likes are large enough to justify fully which disea transmissing transment. Yet we do not find evidence that deventing improved lacademic test scores.

KEYWORDS: Health.education, Alrica, externalities, randomized exalt

1. INTRODUCTION

L INTRODUCTION HOOKWORM, ROUNDWORM, WHI PWORM, and schittosomiasis infect one in four people worldwide. They are particularly prevalent among school-age chi-dren in developing countries. We examine the impact of a program in which seventy-five raral Kenyan primary schools were phased into deworming treat-ment in a randomized order. We find that the program reduced school ab-senteeism by at least one-quarter, with particularly large participation gains among the youngest children, making deworming for papils in school located near treatment schools—using exogenous variation in the localdensity of treat-ment school papils generated by the school-level randomization, and find that deworming reduces worm burdens and increases school participation among

¹The authors think ICS Advics, the Kenyu Ministry of Health Division of Victor Bonne Dis-enses, Donald Bundy, and Paul Glewse for their compension in all stages of the panjext, and would expectible like to advance black their interview of Elizationth Bandy, Luban Bunyu, Pa-caline Dagus, Simon Brencher, Allend Luotu, Sphire Monin, Johner Namaryu, Polycang Wansa, and the PSDP Field staff and data gauga, vithout whom the project world and three ben pon-ible. Centizade is also extended to the trachers and school cikklens of Banis for participating in the staff. George Advich, Harold Adverman, Timoshy Biekoy, Priter Histor, Caroline Hoolty, Lawrence Katz, Doug Miller, Chris Uday, and fae editor and four anonymous referens have provided valuable comments. Medion Consulted Brenes, Andrew France, Byngen Graham, Timo Green, Jawica Luiss, Enely Oster, Angli On, and Jan Behrissen have provided excelerator are surch antistance. The evolution was opersoned by the World Bank and the Partmership for Child Development, but all viewpoints, as well as any errors, are our own.

1.110

Worms: Identifying Impacts on Health and Education in the Presence of Treatment Externalities

Intestinal helminths—including hookworm, roundworm, whipworm, and schistosomiasis—infect more than one-quarter of the world's population. Studies in which medical treatment is randomized at the individual level potentially doubly underestimate the benefits of treatment, missing externality benefits to the comparison group from reduced disease transmission, and therefore also underestimating benefits for the treatment group. We evaluate a Kenyan project in which school-based mass treatment with deworming drugs



was randomly phased into schools, rather than to individuals, allowing estimation of overall program effects. The program reduced school absenteeism in treatment schools by onequarter, and was far cheaper than alternative ways of boosting school participation. Deworming substantially improved health and school participation among untreated children in both treatment schools and neighboring schools, and these externalities are large enough to justify fully subsidizing treatment. Yet we do not find evidence that deworming improved academic test scores.

January 01, 2004